



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,239	06/19/2003	Kevin T. Ivers	7340 US	6095	
30078 75	590 10/06/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
MATTHEW D. RABDAU			YENKE,	YENKE, BRIAN P	
TEKTRONIX,	INC. ARL BRAUN DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BOX 500 (50-LAW)			2622	2622	
BEAVERTON	, OR 97077-0001		DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summan		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/600,239	IVERS, KEVIN T.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		BRIAN P. YENKE	2622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISSIDERATED AND ASSISTED OF THE MAILING DISSIDERATED AND ASSISTED OF THE MAILING DISSIDERATED OF THE MAILING DISSIDERATED OF THE MAILING DISSIDERATED OF THE MAILING DEPOSIT	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of the control of the con	,			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ame	ndment (11 Aug ()6)					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
	_						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	,					
· · ·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 18-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	☐ Glaim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>3, 8-10, 20 and 25-27</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	on Papers	,					
	·	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	difficient the attached Office	Action of form 1	10-102.			
_	•						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
ع)ر	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		and action of the second of th	- -				
Attaches -	1 45)						
Attachment	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	∆ □ 1	(DTO 140)				
	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11 Aug 06 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicant's Arguments

a) Applicant states that Penney does not teach displaying pixels in monochrome, not

does Penny teach displaying gamut-erred pixels in a false color.

b) Applicant traversed the examiner's OFFICIAL NOTICE pertaining to timestamping

in the gamut correction field to log/account when a video signal encountered and error.

Examiner's Response

a) The examiner disagrees. Penney discloses a system which provides single color

(luminance and color difference signals) to display modifier 18 and display 20, in the event the

input video which is converted from luminance/color difference into RGB pixels which

subsequently derives a gamut error signal or not (based upon the predetermined min and max

values). Thus if there is no error the pixels are in single color, whereas if there is a gamut error,

a correction (i.e. false color) is applied thereby anticipating the claimed invention.

b) Based upon the applicant's traversal, the examiner incorporates/cites, US

20040151469, Engholm et al., which discloses the concept of logging gamut errors with a

timestamp (para 0013).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2622

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-6, 18-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Penney, US 4,707,727.

In considering claims 1 and 18,

a) the claimed means for deriving... is met by the system as shown in Fig 4, where the gamut error is output from the OR gate 16.

b) the claimed means for generating...is met by the system (Fig 4) which derives a gamut error (if present) and modifies the incoming video signals which includes the chrominance/luminance components of the signal which is then display via modifier 18/monitor 20.

In considering claims 2 and 19,

a) the claimed means for generating... is met by the system which generates a magnitude of the chrominance and luminance components (R-Y,B-Y and Y) via resistive matrix 10 which is compared with a maximum reference signal via comparators 14G,B,R as shown.

In considering claims 4-5 and 21-22

- a) the claimed means for converting... is met by resistive matrix 10 which converts the Y,B-Y,R-Y signals into GBR form respectively.
- b) the claimed means for comparing... is met by comparators 14G,B, R and 12G,B,R which compare the component signals with the ref max and min respectively.

Art Unit: 2622

In considering claims 6 and 23,

Given the broadest interpretation, Penney discloses capturing the video signal when the gamut error is present or not, meeting the claimed limitation. Although, the claim was amended to recite in response to the gamut error, since Penney discloses altering the input signal (luminance/color difference signals) in response to a gamut error, the error is based upon the captured signal, and the corrected signal is applied to the captured signal, thereby meeting the claimed limitation (i.e. in response to the detection of an error, the incoming signal is captured/corrected for display (notably prior to display).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Penney, US 4,707,727.

In considering claims 7 and 24,

Penney does not explicitly recite "time stamping". The concept/practice of time stamping in the gamut correction field is used to log/account at what time the video signal encountered an error and thus allows the system to account and correct for this period based upon the time the error occurred, thus the examiner takes "OFFICIAL NOTICE" regarding the

Art Unit: 2622

use of such for the advantages as noted above, since the inclusion of time stamping in Penney would have provided the same advantages.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3, 8-10, 20 and 25-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2622

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order

Application/Control Number: 10/600,239

Art Unit: 2622

certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

Page 7

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS).

PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,239

Art Unit: 2622

B.P./ 29 September 2006

BRIAN P. YENKE / PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8